

September 28, 2016

Chairman Norman Bay

Secretary Kimberly Bose

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558 PennEast Pipeline Project - Comments in response to the DEIS addressing noise.

Dear Chairman Bay, Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

Holland Township Citizens Against the Pipeline (HCCAP) is a non-profit organization headquartered in Holland Township, New Jersey, representing thousands of individual concerned residents of Holland Township and our region who have a direct interest in protecting and preserving an irreplaceable community rich in farming and historic culture; preventing the destruction of endangered species' habitats and fragile watershed ecosystems; defending the Township's thriving agricultural and recreational economies; safeguarding the Township's citizens' proactive investment in conserved and preserved farms and woodlands; and opposing the PennEast Pipeline due to its irrevocable impact on the safety and integrity of the human environment. HCCAP is an Intervenor in this matter.

In our meetings and conversations with citizens in our region, we have heard many complaints about the flawed FERC public process including:

- frustrations about the functionality of the FERC website to obtain information or file comments on this docket;

- the frequent" outages" of the FERC website which result in a de facto shortening of the public's opportunity for public comment;
- the lack of FERC responses to, or DEIS acknowledgement of, significant environmental issues that have been frequently raised by citizens, municipalities and organizations either in filed comments, letters or voiced at public hearings;
- the lack of a realistic time period for citizens to analyze and respond to the complex and lengthy (1,174 pages) Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) – a mere 24 days from filing to the first public hearing;
- in contrast, the great latitude and deference that FERC provides to PennEast, allowing long extensions of time for the submittal and publication of required environmental impacts information on this complex project to FERC and to the public-significant information is still unavailable to the public with only days remaining in the public comment period;
- the failure of FERC through its actions and DEIS to call PennEast to task for its frequent mistakes of fact on significant environmental information that is readily obtainable through even minimal effort such as the location of public water supplies, blasting quarries, mines, historic districts, structures and the hundreds of private wells along the pipeline route – even after these mistakes have been repeatedly corrected by public comments; and
- the intimidating, demoralizing, arms- length, NONPUBLIC hearing process that FERC chose to impose on the hundreds of concerned citizens, legislators, municipalities, and organizations who took the time and effort to develop comments on the DEIS, despite the short window provided to analyze this complex document.

HCCAP shares the strong concerns of our citizenry about the incompetence and bad faith exhibited by PennEast throughout this process and the indifference of FERC to the injustice being done here. Our homes, our historic and cultural treasures, our livelihoods and local economy, our farms, our widely utilized recreational areas, and our life-sustaining drinking water are at stake here. We are not just lot and block numbers on a desktop survey program. Our lands have been preserved and cherished by our citizens and taxpayers in the most densely populated state in the nation.

In order to ensure that you hear the voices of our citizenry, particularly those individuals without computer access, HCCAP has collected more than 1,000 letters from our community members at a recent public event held on September 4, 2016. The letters were signed by the individual signatories in the presence of HCCAP officers and collected for this submission with the signatories' permission. The signatories consist of concerned citizens, interveners, and impacted landowners. HCCAP will be submitting these letters to you and to our state and federal legislators in groups that have been topically organized for your consideration and for ease of filing for this docket.

In closing, HCCAP urges FERC to wake up to the sea change that is occurring across our nation. Communities and citizens demand that our government and its agencies protect our environment, our homes and our cultural resources, even as we seek to meet the energy needs of our country. The PennEast pipeline project is an unnecessary, duplicative project that serves no one but the shareholders of this company. We urge you to withdraw the DEIS and reconsider the "No Build" option – it is the only option that makes sense for our future.

Sincerely,

Holland Township Citizens Against the Pipeline (HCCAP)

Cc:

President Barack Obama

U.S. Senator Robert Menendez

U.S. Senator Cory Booker

U.S. Congressman Leonard Lance

U.S. Congresswoman Bonnie Watson-Coleman

N.J. Senator Kip Batemen

N.J. Senator Shirley Turner

N.J. Assemblyman Jack Ciattarelli

N.J. Assemblyman Andrew Zwicker

N.J. Assemblyman Reed Gusciora

N.J. Assemblywoman Elizabeth Muoio

Date: 9/24/16

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Vanessa S. Rainford in this proceeding who lives in 443 Church Road Milford, NJ 08848.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Vanessa S. Rainford

Date: 9-24-2016

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Wendell Lightner, concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 262 Federal Twist Rd. Stockton NJ 08559.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Wendell Lightner

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is E. A. Berg in this proceeding who lives in Milford, PA.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Mrs Lenath I in this proceeding who lives in Rahway NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date: 9/24/16

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Heather Cousin, concerned resident in this proceeding who lives in 31 Green St, Milford NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Heather Cousin

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Janis Clark in this proceeding who lives in Hollandtwp.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,



Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Christina Russomello in this proceeding who lives in Asbury, Hunterdon County NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Rosa Vila in this proceeding who lives in Milford NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Rosa Vila

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Diane Mallon, concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 57 Bridge St, Milford, NJ 08848.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Diane Mallon

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Frank Marchi in this proceeding who lives in Holland Township.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Peter Sarb in this proceeding who lives in Vernon, NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date: 9-24-16

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Amy Kapp, a concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 126 Headquarters Rd Erwinna, PA 18920.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely, Amy Kapp

Date: 9/24/16

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is William Kapp in this proceeding who lives in Erwinna, PA.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

William Kapp

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is DAN LOONEY in this proceeding who lives in 36 FAIRVIEW AVE MILFORD, NJ 08848.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date: 8/29/16

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Philip Bradford in this proceeding who lives in 443 Quade Rd McAfee NJ 07848.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is CATHIE Sweeney Concerned Citizen in this proceeding who lives in Upper Black Eddy PA.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Cathie Sweeney

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Kyle Patoylo in this proceeding who lives in Holland township.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Laura Mirsky in this proceeding who lives in Holland Twp (Milford) NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Laura Mirsky

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Lois Wismer in this proceeding who lives in Milford, NJ. 08848.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Lois Wismer

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Ry D. Green in this proceeding who lives in 364 Riegelsville Rd Milford NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Jessica Pierman in this proceeding who lives in 314 Ridgeville Milford NJ 08848.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is JAMES BENVENUTO in this proceeding who lives in

HAMILTON, NJ 08620.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

James Benvenuto

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Carol Kelly in this proceeding who lives in Titusville, NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Carol Kelly

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is MARK JACOB in this proceeding who lives in ALLENDALE, NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Mark Jacob

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is _____ in this proceeding who lives in _____.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is JAN ROWER in this proceeding who lives in Milford, NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Jan

Date: 9-24-14

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Conalyn Steible, concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in Easton, PA.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Carol Steible Concerned Citizen in this proceeding who lives in Bloomsbury, N.J. 08804.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Carol Steible

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Linda Harder in this proceeding who lives in Milford, NJ 08848.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Linda Harder

Date: 9.24.16

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is DAVID HANSER in this proceeding who lives in Milford, NJ 08848.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is RICHARD KRAUSE, II in this proceeding who lives in

MILFORD, NJ 08848.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Richard Krause, II

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is DAVID HALL in this proceeding who lives in FLEMINGTON NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,



Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Linda Lipsky in this proceeding who lives in Broomall PA.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Sarah Berg, a concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 26 Polktown Road, Hampton, NJ 08827.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

S Berg

Date: 9-24-16

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Brenda O'Shea in this proceeding who lives in Milford, NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Brenda O'Shea

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Suzanne Macaday, Concerned Citizen in this proceeding who lives in 25 Phillips Road, Milford NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely, Suzanne Macaday

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Jennifer Berg, a concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 28 Polktown Rd, Hamptn NJ 08827.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Berg

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Christie Casin - concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 314 Fawnwood Dr. Milford NJ 08848.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,



Date: 9/24/16

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Lodge Ferguson, Concerned Citizen in this proceeding who lives in Holland Twp, 25 Phillips Rd, Milford, NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Lodge A Ferguson, JR

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Michael Hillman - concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 314 Fawnwood Dr. Milford NJ 08848.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Michael Note Concerned Citz in this proceeding who lives in 177 Anderson Rd Milford NJ 08848

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Carl Molter, registered intervenor in this proceeding who lives in 532 Ellis Road, Milford, NJ 08848

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Carl L. Molter

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Elizabeth T. Mahler, registered intervenor in this proceeding who lives in 532 Ellis Rd, Milford, NJ 08848.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth T. Mahler

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Marina Bartelli, concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 1187 Croton Road, Quakertown, NJ 08868.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Marina Bartelli

Date: 9/24/16

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Haller A. Welchler in this proceeding who lives in Milford, NJ.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Haller A. Welchler

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Joanne Lovell a concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in

5523 Grace Ave Bethlehem, PA 18017.

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."

-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."

-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,



Noise Comment

Date:

Kimberly D. Bose, Secretary
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Room 1A
Washington, DC 20426

RE: PennEast Pipeline (CP15-558-000)

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

a concerned citizen,

My name is Joyce Hosford, in this proceeding who lives in 22 Gority Rd. Milford, NJ 08848

I am concerned about the impact the pipeline will have on noise. The DEIS says that, "based on the analyses conducted, the proposed mitigation measures, and our recommendations, we concluded that construction and operation of the Project would not result in significant noise impacts on residents and the surrounding environment." However, FERC is still missing a lot of information from PennEast such as,

-"a HDD noise mitigation plan to reduce the projected noise level attributable to the proposed drilling operations at the 18 NSAs with predicted noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn."
-"mitigation measures to minimize noise levels associated with emergency or maintenance MLV blowdown events."
-"a complete noise analysis of the Project metering (interconnect) stations using the best available typical design or vendor specification with regards to impacts on the closest identified residences/NSA."

How can FERC make an informed decision without any of this data? How can they just say that with FERC's recommendations and mitigation measures, there will be no significant impact even though FERC has yet to receive any complete document from PennEast which outlines mitigation measures for noise levels?

FERC says, "PennEast shall file a noise survey with the Secretary no later than 60 days after placing the Kidder Compressor Station in service." It then goes on to says that if the noise levels from the Kidder Compressor Station do not meet the correct standards, PennEast shall report and implement mitigation measures within one year of the in-service date and then PennEast also then is given another 60 days to show FERC that it has in fact corrected the noise levels! How can FERC conclude that there will be no significant impacts when people may have to endure noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn for a whole year? This timeline seems awfully lengthy, especially when considered in conjunction with other time limits, such as the short 45-day period that the public has to comment on the DEIS.

Why won't PennEast know the noise levels of the Kidder Compressor Station before it is in service? Why would FERC allow the Kidder Compressor Station to be built with the potential to have noise levels above 55 dBA Ldn and still claim that the pipeline will have no significant noise impacts?

With so many information gaps, I don't see how FERC can conclude that this pipeline won't have any significant noise or air quality impacts.

Sincerely,

Joyce Hosford