September 28, 2016

Chairman Norman Bay

Secretary Kimberly Bose

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558 PennEast Pipeline Project - Comments in response to the DEIS addressing vegetative and endangered species.

Dear Chairman Bay, Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

Holland Township Citizens Against the Pipeline (HCCAP) is a non-profit organization headquartered in Holland Township, New Jersey, representing thousands of individual concerned residents of Holland Township and our region who have a direct interest in protecting and preserving an irreplaceable community rich in farming and historic culture; preventing the destruction of endangered species' habitats and fragile watershed ecosystems; defending the Township's thriving agricultural and recreational economies; safeguarding the Township's citizens' proactive investment in conserved and preserved farms and woodlands; and opposing the PennEast Pipeline due to its irrevocable impact on the safety and integrity of the human environment. HCCAP is an Intervenor in this matter.

In our meetings and conversations with citizens in our region, we have heard many complaints about the flawed FERC public process including:

- frustrations about the functionality of the FERC website to obtain information or file comments on this docket;

- the frequent" outages" of the FERC website which result in a de facto shortening of the public's opportunity for public comment;
- the lack of FERC responses to, or DEIS acknowledgement of, significant environmental issues that have been frequently raised by citizens, municipalities and organizations either in filed comments, letters or voiced at public hearings;
- the lack of a realistic time period for citizens to analyze and respond to the complex and lengthy (1,174 pages) Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) – a mere 24 days from filing to the first public hearing;
- in contrast, the great latitude and deference that FERC provides to PennEast, allowing long extensions of time for the submittal and publication of required environmental impacts information on this complex project to FERC and to the public-significant information is still unavailable to the public with only days remaining in the public comment period;
- the failure of FERC through its actions and DEIS to call PennEast to task for its frequent mistakes of fact on significant environmental information that is readily obtainable through even minimal effort such as the location of public water supplies, blasting quarries, mines, historic districts, structures and the hundreds of private wells along the pipeline route – even after these mistakes have been repeatedly corrected by public comments; and
- the intimidating, demoralizing, arms- length, NONPUBLIC hearing process that FERC chose to impose on the hundreds of concerned citizens, legislators, municipalities, and organizations who took the time and effort to develop comments on the DEIS, despite the short window provided to analyze this complex document.

HCCAP shares the strong concerns of our citizenry about the incompetence and bad faith exhibited by PennEast throughout this process and the indifference of FERC to the injustice being done here. Our homes, our historic and cultural treasures, our livelihoods and local economy, our farms, our widely utilized recreational areas, and our life-sustaining drinking water are at stake here. We are not just lot and block numbers on a desktop survey program. Our lands have been preserved and cherished by our citizens and taxpayers in the most densely populated state in the nation.

In order to ensure that you hear the voices of our citizenry, particularly those individuals without computer access, HCCAP has collected more than 1,000 letters from our community members at a recent public event held on September 4, 2016. The letters were signed by the individual signatories in the presence of HCCAP officers and collected for this submission with the signatories' permission. The signatories consist of concerned citizens, interveners, and impacted landowners. HCCAP will be submitting these letters to you and to our state and federal legislators in groups that have been topically organized for your consideration and for ease of filing for this docket.

In closing, HCCAP urges FERC to wake up to the sea change that is occurring across our nation. Communities and citizens demand that our government and its agencies protect our environment, our homes and our cultural resources, even as we seek to meet the energy needs of our country. The PennEast pipeline project is an unnecessary, duplicative project that serves no one but the shareholders of this company. We urge you to withdraw the DEIS and reconsider the "No Build" option – it is the only option that makes sense for our future.

Sincerely,

Holland Township Citizens Against the Pipeline (HCCAP)

Cc:

President Barack Obama

U.S. Senator Robert Menendez

U.S. Senator Cory Booker

U.S. Congressman Leonard Lance

U.S. Congresswoman Bonnie Watson-Coleman

N.J. Senator Kip Batemen

N.J. Senator Shirley Turner

N.J. Assemblyman Jack Ciattarelli

N.J. Assemblyman Andrew Zwicker

N.J. Assemblyman Reed Gusciora

N.J. Assemblywoman Elizabeth Muoio

Date: 9-24-2015

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Catherine Palewski concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 1770 Skyline Dr. Hbg PA 18972.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Cath Palewski

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is DIANE MALLON, concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 57 Bridge Street, Milford, NJ 08848.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Diane Mallon

Date: 9-24-2016

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Wendell Lightner, concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 262 Federal Twist Rd. Stockton NJ 08559.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Wendell Lightner

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose ~~and Members of FERC~~:

My name is ROBERT VIOLA in this proceeding

who lives in MILFORD NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Susan Berg in this proceeding

who lives in Milford N.J.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely, Susan Berg

Date: 9/24/16

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is William Kopp in this proceeding

who lives in Emmaus, PA.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

William Kopp

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is E. A. Berg in this proceeding

who lives in Milford, NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Kris Demaitis in this proceeding

who lives in Rahway NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date: 9/24/16

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Heather Cousin, concerned resident in this proceeding who lives in 31 Green St, Milford NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely, Heather Cousin

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Janis Clark in this proceeding

who lives in Holland Twp.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Janis Clark

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Christina Rossonelle in this proceeding

who lives in Asbury, Hunterdon New Jersey.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Peter Jazdy in this proceeding

who lives in Union, NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Frank Munemi in this proceeding

who lives in Holland Township.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date: 9-24-16

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Amy Kapp, a concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in Erwinna, PA across from Frenchtown NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Amy Kapp

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is DAN LOONEY in this proceeding

who lives in 36 FAIRVIEW AVE MILFORD NJ 08848.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date: 8/24/16

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Philip Rainford in this proceeding
who lives in 445 Church Rd Milford NJ 08848.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Kyle Patoyko in this proceeding

who lives in Holland Township.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Kyle Patoyko

Date:
9/24/16

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Vanessa S. Rainford in this proceeding
who lives in 443 Church Road Milford, NJ 08848.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Vanessa S. Rainford

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Cathie Sweeney Concerned Cit in this proceeding who lives in Upper Black Eddy Pa.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Cathie Sweeney

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is DAVID PITCHER, A CONCERNED CITIZEN in this proceeding who lives in 1215 RIVER ROAD, UPPER BLACK EDDY, PA 18972.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Laura Mirsky in this proceeding

who lives in Holland Twp (Milford) NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Laura Mirsky

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Lois Wismer in this proceeding

who lives in Milford, NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely, Lois Wismer

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Ry W. Inman in this proceeding

who lives in 366 Riegelsville Rd Milford NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Jessica Pierman in this proceeding

who lives in 314 Riegelsville Rd Milford NJ 08848.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely, J Pierman

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is JAMES BENVENUTO in this proceeding

who lives in HAMILTON, NJ 08620.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely, James Benvenuto

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Carol Kelly in this proceeding who lives in Wash Xing Penn Titusville, NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Carol Kelly

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is MARK JACOB in this proceeding

who lives in ANNANDALE, NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Mark Jacob

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Diane C Jones in this proceeding

who lives in Annandale NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Diane C Jones

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is JAN ROWER in this proceeding

who lives in Milford, NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Jan

Date: 9-24-16

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Conalyn Steible, Concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 1402 Liberty St. Easton, PA 18042.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Carol Steible Concerned Citizen in this proceeding who lives in Bloomsbury, NJ 08804.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Carol Steible

Carol Steible

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Linda Harder in this proceeding

who lives in Milford NJ 08848.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely, Linda Harder

Date:

9.24.16

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is DAVID HARSER in this proceeding

who lives in Milford, NJ 08848.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is RICHARD KRAUSE, II in this proceeding

who lives in MILFORD, NJ 08848.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely, Richard Krause, II

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Linda Lipsky in this proceeding

who lives in Broomall PA.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Linda Lipsky

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Sarah Berg, a concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 28 Polktown Road, Hampton, NJ 08827.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

SBerg

Date: 9-24-16

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Brenda O'Shea in this proceeding

who lives in Milford, NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Brenda O'Shea

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Jennifer Berg, a concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 28 Polktown Road, Hampton NJ 08827.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Berg

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Suzanne Macaoay, Concerned Citizen in this proceeding who lives in 25 Phillips Road, Milford NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Macaoay

Date: 9/24/16

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Rodger Ferguson, Concerned Citizen in this proceeding

who lives in Holland Twp, 25 Phillips Rd, Milford, NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Rodger A Ferguson, Jr

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Christine Cousin - concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 314 Fawnwood Dr Milford NJ 08948.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Michael Hillmann - concerned citizen in this proceeding
who lives in 314 Fawnwood Dr. Milford NJ 08648

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Michael Nota Concerned Citiz in this proceeding
who lives in 177 Anderson Rd Milford NJ 08848

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Carl Molter, registered intervenor in this proceeding who lives in 532 Ellis Road, Milford, NJ 08848.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Carl L. Molter

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Elizabeth T. Molter, registered intervenor in this proceeding who lives in 532 Ellis Rd., Milford, NJ 08848.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth T. Molter

Date:

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Marina Bartelli, concerned citizen in this proceeding who lives in 1187 Croton Road, Quakertown, NJ 08868

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Marina Bartelli

Date: 6/24/16

Secretary Bose
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street, NE
Washington, DC 20426

Re: Docket CP15-558-000 – Proposed PennEast Pipeline Project

Dear Secretary Bose and Members of FERC:

My name is Hallee A. Weicher in this proceeding

who lives in Milford, NJ.

I am commenting on the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) is deficient and should be withdrawn. I am concerned about the following issues:

I am shocked that this DEIS could be released at the stage it is currently in. The DEIS has yet to provide mitigation measures for bog turtles or any of the state-listed species or state species of concern. It also has yet to ensure the Project doesn't come within at least 0.25 mile of bat hibernacula.

The DEIS fails to list or document or provide any survey information about New Jersey Plants of Special Concern. There is a very, very long list of these species in the pipelines route, and the DEIS completely ignores them, despite many comments on the docket from agencies and biologists asking for data and avoidance plans. Impacts to these plants cannot be mitigated.

"FERC requests that the FWS consider this EIS as the Biological Assessment," (ES-9) yet how can that be if this DEIS is missing survey information for 70% of the properties the pipeline will cross?

It is not fair that the EIS was published prematurely because it prevents the public from commenting on the missing mitigation plans such as:

- a NJ No-Net Loss Reforestation Act Plan for the parcels identified in table 4.5.1-2
- an Invasive Species Management Plan
- a Migratory Bird Conservation Plan

How can we be given a September 12th deadline for comments when we don't have all the information we need to be reviewing? I urge FERC to withdraw this DEIS and to give the public at least 90 days for commenting after the DEIS is complete.

Sincerely,

Hallee A. Weicher