

Chairman, Norman C. Bay
Federal Energy Regulatory Commission
888 First Street NE, Suite 11A
Washington, D.C. 20426

RE: Docket No. CP15-558-000 - PennEast Pipeline Draft EIS

In the PennEast Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS), Appendix C "Typical Drawings and Construction Details" Figures 1B and 1C the "TYPICAL CONSTRUCTION WORK AREA" is dimensioned as eight feet (8'). In the notes below, it is stated that the "CONSTRUCTION RIGHT-OF-WAY WILL TYPICALLY BE 100 FEET WIDE CONSISTING OF 50 FEET OF PERMANENT EASEMENT, 50 FEET TEMPORARY WORKSPACE AND ADDITIONAL WORKSPACE THAT WILL BE NECESSARY AT ROAD, RAIL AND RIVER CROSSINGS AND OTHER SPECIAL CIRCUMSTANCES, AS REQUIRED. CERTAIN SITUATIONS MAY REQUIRE A NARROWER WIDTH."

Is this drawing showing a "NARROWER WIDTH" or, as many of the dimensions shown are surprising given the actual size of tracked equipment typically used for pipeline construction, are these drawings inaccurate? I suspect the latter which is particular concern as it represents the lack of very basic quality control and fundamental engineering professionalism required that PennEast has shown to safely design and construct an interstate pipeline.

Chairman Bay, as the chief executive of FERC, it is clear that your staff who reviewed this proposal failed to catch this most basic error. Given the number of errors and gaps in data in this EIS required to construct a safe pipeline with no or minimal impacts to the environment, I am requesting that the entire draft EIS be resubmitted at a time when these issues will have been resolved.

The health, safety and welfare of our communities is too important to risk it for the monetary enhancement of a few individuals.

Sincerely,
Richard Dodds
Kingwood Township Committee

cc: Kimberly Bose, Secretary
Nathaniel J Davis, Sr., Deputy Secretary